### Project RISARD

- the story so far



### Marcin P. Gawroński (Toruń Centre for Astronomy)

in collaboration with K. Goźdzewski, K. Katarzyński, G. Rycyk (TCfA)



Marcin Gawroński

12th EVN Symposium, 7-10.X.2014 Cagliari

**Overview** 

- RISARD motivation and current status
- first results TVLM 513-46546
- impact of flux variability on VLBI astrometry
- HOM emission from massive jovian planets



### Why red dwarfs (M-dwarfs)?

- most numerous in our Galaxy (and Universe) at present epoch
- mass range 0.08-0.6  $M_{\odot}$
- dominant population in the Solar neighbourhood
- in numbers >70% stars, total mass >40% (Henry 1998)
- Mass-Luminosity Relation is poorly constrained
- young (<1Gyr) M-dwarfs are sources of variable cm radio emission (≤1mJy, Güdel 1993) due to magnetic activity
- radial velocity (RV) surveys are possible only in the case of old inactive M-dwarfs



### Red dwarfs planetary systems

- due to their high planet-star mass ratios, red dwarfs are the easiest targets for detection of low-mass planets
- first exoplanet orbiting their parent star inside the habitable zone was found around nearby M-dwarf, GI 581 (Udry & Santos 2007)
- remarkable multi-planet resonant system was found around Gl 876, hosting two Jupiters (Marcy+ 1998)
- due to magnetic activity little is known about planetary systems around young red dwarfs



### Red dwarfs planetary systems

- the occurrence rate for Earth-sized with radii  $0.5R_{\oplus} < r_p < 5R_{\oplus}$  and orbital period <50 days is  $0.90 \pm 0.04$  planets per star (KEPLER mission data, Dressing & Charbonneau 2013)
- the occurrence rates for planets within mass range 3-10M  $_\oplus$  is 0.21±0.05 per star (RV surveys, Tuomi+ 2014)
- the occurrence rate for planets in the mass range  $10M_{\oplus} \le m_p \sin i \le 3000M_{\oplus}$ and period 10-3000 days is  $0.36\pm0.05$  per star (microlensing observations, Gould+ 2010)
- occurrence rate for giant planets based microlensing data is one order of magnitude higher than in the case of RV surveys (e.g. Bonfils+ 2013)



### Main targets

- astrometric survey of nearby (10<d<15 pc) active young RDs with the e-VLBI at 6cm (RIPL, Gower+ 2009,2011; 29 RDs, d<10 pc)</li>
- searching for sub-stellar companions
- non-bias estimation of planetary system statistic (gas giants)
- precise estimations of proper motion and parallaxes (complementary to GAIA mission)
- study radio emission properties of RDs





#### Current status

 test observations of 4 red dwarfs in 2010 (astrometric precision and EVN sensitivity)



#### Current status

- test observations of 4 red dwarfs in 2010 (astrometric precision and EVN sensitivity)
- new sample of 17 RDs, target selection based on the Güdel-Benz relation (X-ray vs radio luminosity; e.g. Berger+2010)  $f_{exp}$ >50µJy





#### Current status

- test observations of 4 red dwarfs in 2010 (astrometric precision and EVN sensitivity)
- new sample of 17 RDs, target selection based on the Güdel-Benz relation (X-ray vs radio luminosity; e.g. Berger+2010)  $f_{exp}$ >50µJy
- 1<sup>st</sup> step: observations of new sample, 12 detections (e-VLBI, 9-11.3.2011)





#### Current status

- test observations of 4 red dwarfs in 2010 (astrometric precision and EVN sensitivity)
- new sample of 17 RDs, target selection based on the Güdel-Benz relation (X-ray vs radio luminosity; e.g. Berger+2010)  $f_{exp}$ >50µJy
- 1<sup>st</sup> step: observations of new sample, 12 detections (e-VLBI, 9-11.3.2011)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> step: regular astrometry observations of 12 RDs, 3 epochs for each target (2012-2013)





#### Current status

- test observations of 4 red dwarfs in 2010 (astrometric precision and EVN sensitivity)
- new sample of 17 RDs, target selection based on the Güdel-Benz relation (X-ray vs radio luminosity; e.g. Berger+2010)  $f_{exp}$ >50µJy
- 1<sup>st</sup> step: observations of new sample, 12 detections (e-VLBI, 9-11.3.2011)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> step: regular astrometry observations of 12 RDs, 3 epochs for each target (2012-2013)
- 3<sup>rd</sup> step: regular astrometry observations of 6 RDs, 6 epochs for each target (2013-2015), only stars detected in each epoch during 2<sup>nd</sup> part of RISARD





12th EVN Symposium, 7-10.X.2014 Cagliari

#### First results - TVLM 513-46546

- M8.5 dwarf at distance 10.7pc
- target for dedicated astrometric project with VLBA at 8.4GHz (7 epochs in 2010-2011; Forbrich+ 2013)
- low significance pattern in the residuals suggests ~2.6M<sub>j</sub> with orbital period ~70 days)





Marcin Gawroński

12th EVN Symposium, 7-10.X.2014 Cagliari

### First results - TVLM 513-46546

- M8.5 dwarf at distance 10.7pc
- target for dedicated astrometric project with VLBA at 8.4GHz (7 epochs in 2010-2011; Forbrich+ 2013)
- low significance pattern in the residuals suggests ~2.6M<sub>j</sub> with orbital period ~70 days)
- six additional epochs from RISARD (2011-2014)
- new astrometric model based on VLBA+RISARD measurements





12th EVN Symposium, 7-10.X.2014 Cagliari

#### First results - TVLM 513-46546



Residuals to astrometric model of TVLM-513



#### First results - TVLM 513-46546



New astrometric model do not support trend in residuals suggested by Forbrich+ (2013)

Stile 3 more epochs scheduled for TVL-513 in RISARD.



### Flux variability impact on the VLBI astrometry

- using EVN data it is possible to track radio flux variability on time scales ~1 min
- flux obtained from "global" map ≈ averaged value from flux measurements





#### Flux variability impact on the VLBI astrometry

- using EVN data it is possible to track radio flux variability on time scales ~1 min
- flux obtained from "global" map ≈ averaged value from flux measurements
- two equal parts of data lead to different astrometry precision (different S/N ratio)



Star	Epoch (JD-2455000)	RA (J2000)	$\Delta RA$ (mas)	Dec (J2000)	ΔDec (mas)	S <sub>5GHz</sub> μJy
EQ Peg A	275.88455	23 31 52.594757	0.09	19 56 13.466049	0.06	2005±103
EQ Peg A <sup>1)</sup>	275.87083	23 31 52.594760	0.10	19 56 13.466002	0.06	2299±97
EQ Peg A <sup>2)</sup>	275.89826	23 31 52.594742	0.12	19 56 13.466135	0.08	$1422 \pm 80$



#### Flux variability impact on the VLBI astrometry

The most extreme cases:

- RD could be detected in part of data but not in the whole dataset
- longer integration ≠ improved SNR & improved astrometry precision
- better astrometry precision could be achieved by selecting subsample(s) of dataset





### Flux variability impact on the VLBI astrometry

The most extreme cases:

- RD could be detected in part of data but not in the whole dataset
- longer integration ≠ improved SNR & improved astrometry precision
- better astrometry precision could be achieved by selecting subsample(s) of dataset



Removing flux variability impact on the VLBI astrometry could increase detection rate and astrometry precision - reduction of the interferometric data with non-stationary signals present (Gawroński+, submitted).



### Solar system planets are sources of radio emission.



KOM - kilometric radiation

HOM - hectometric radiation

DAM – decametric radiation

It is assumed that DAM emission is mainly produced by cyclotron maser instability in regions close to the magnetic poles (interaction between solar wind and planet magnetosphere).



#### Exoplanets as sources of radio emission.



 In principle it was demonstrated that it should be possible to detect HOM emission at least from a few discovered exoplanets: τ Boo b, ε Eri b, Gl 876 b,... (e.g. Nichols 2011, Grießmeier+ 2007)



#### Exoplanets as sources of radio emission.



- In principle it was demonstrated that it should be possible to detect HOM emission at least from a few discovered exoplanets: τ Boo b, ε Eri b, Gl 876 b,... (e.g. Nichols 2011, Grießmeier+ 2007)
- many observational tries but there is no confirmed detection of radio emission of exoplanet (e.g., Bastian+ 2000, George & Stevens 2007, Lazio & Farell 2007)



### Exoplanets as sources of radio emission.



- In principle it was demonstrated that it should be possible to detect HOM emission at least from a few discovered exoplanets: τ Boo b, ε Eri b, Gl 876 b,... (e.g. Nichols 2011, Grießmeier+ 2007)
- many observational tries but there is no confirmed detection of radio emission of exoplanet (e.g., Bastian+ 2000, George & Stevens 2007, Lazio & Farell 2007)
- Sirothia+ 2014 using GMRT found sources of radio emission towards four planetary systems (survey of 175 know exoplanetary systems)



### Could HOM emission be produced at GHz frequencies?



HR 8799 Marois+ (2008)



### Could HOM emission be produced at GHz frequencies?



Could we do an image of exoplanetary system using



HR 8799 Marois+ (2008)



Marcin Gawroński

12th EVN Symposium, 7-10.X.2014 Cagliari

### Could HOM emission be produced at GHz frequencies?



HR 8799 Marois+ (2008)

### Could we do an image of exoplanetary system using



The main motivation: Reiners & Christensen (2010) showed that in young (<1Gyr) and massive sub-stellar objects (10-80  $M_{jup}$ ) magnetic field could excess 1kGs.



### Main model assumptions

 dynamo magnetic field strength at the surface of the planet (Reiniers & Christiansen 2010)

$$B_{\rm dyn} = 4.8 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{ML^2}{R^7}\right)^{1/6} \, [{\rm G}]$$

 the polar dipole magnetic field strength

$$B_{\rm dip}^{\rm pol} = \frac{B_{\rm dyn}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{0.17}{M/M_{\rm J}}\right)^3 \label{eq:B_dip}$$

the magnetic momentum

$$\mathcal{M} = 4\pi \frac{B_{\rm dip}^{\rm pol} R^3}{2\mu_0}$$

 the total radiated power (Grießmeier+ 2005)

$$P_1 = \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}_J}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{n}{n_J}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{v}{v_J}\right)^{7/3} \left(\frac{d}{d_J}\right)^{-4/3} P_J$$

Where:

- M-mass, L-Luminosity, R-radius in solar units
- M<sub>1</sub> Jupiter mass
- $\mathcal{M}_{I}$  Jupiter magnetic momentum
- $P_j$  emission power in Jupiter system (high activity periods)  $P_j = 2 \times 10^{11} W$
- n<sub>j</sub>- wind particle number density,
  v<sub>j</sub> wind velocity, p<sub>j</sub> planet distance
  (normalized to Jupiter values)
- Evolution of L & R for gas giants based on Burrows+ (1993,1997)



#### Gas giants evolution



![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

Gas giant in a system at a distance of 20pc and orbit 1AU (wind particle density=5n, and wind velocity=5v)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

### HOM emission from giant exoplanets Where we could find young gas giants?

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

HR 8799 Marois+ (2008)

- fundamental theoretical scaling relation between stellar host mass and protoplanetary disc mass (e.g. Kornet+ 2006)
- correlation between the giant planet frequency and stellar host mass (Johnson 2007)

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

### HOM emission from giant exoplanets Where we could find young gas giants?

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

HR 8799 Marois+ (2008)

- fundamental theoretical scaling relation between stellar host mass and protoplanetary disc mass (e.g. Kornet+ 2006)
- correlation between the giant planet fequency and stellar host mass (Johnson 2007)
- A-type main-sequence stars are young (<1Gyr) massive stars (1.4-2.1 M<sub>o</sub>)
- A-type stars are fast rotators → featureless optical spectra
- A-type stars are rare → transit statistic very limited
- HR 8799 is A5-type main-sequence object (~30Myr old)

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

HOM emission from giant exoplanets Where we could find young gas giants?

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

HR 8799 Marois+ (2008)

### VLBI could be a perfect tool to study planetary systems of A-type main-sequence stars!

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank you for your atention!

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

Marcin Gawroński

12th EVN Symposium, 7-10.X.2014 Cagliari